

Presence Of Aflatoxin M1 In Dairy Cattle Milk In Khartoum State-Sudan

S. E. Suliman, M. A. Abdalla

Abstract: - This study was carried out to detect aflatoxin M1 in dairy cattle milk in Khartoum State - Sudan. A total of 143 fresh milk samples were randomly sampled during 2011 in Khartoum. The enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) was used to detect aflatoxin M1 in the milk samples. AFM1 was found in 100% of the examined milk samples; 141 (98.6%) of the samples had AFM1 greater than the maximum tolerance limit (50ng/L). AFM1 levels in the samples in Khartoum state appear to be a serious public health problem. AFM1 contamination in the samples of dairy cattle milk in Khartoum state appears to be prevalent and may pose a public health problem at the moment. Awareness must be conveyed to producers, handlers and specialists.

Index Terms: - aflatoxin M1, detect, dairy, cattle, milk, ELISA, Khartoum State, Sudan

Introduction

Livestock is vital to lives and livelihood of people and to the economics of many countries especially developing ones. In the Sudan, animals is the main source of food particularly protein for human diets. Moreover, consumption of livestock and livestock products in the Sudan is increasing, which increase issues of public health impact of food of animal origin. Aflatoxins are mutagenic, teratogenic and hepatocarcinogenic compounds produced as secondary metabolic products (Whitlow and Hagler, 2002; Santacroce *et al.*, 2008). Aflatoxin (AFM1) is a hydroxylated metabolite of aflatoxin B1 that may be found in milk and milk products obtained from livestock that have ingested contaminated feed (Creppy, 2002). The occurrence of AFM1 in milk is a public health concern so that AFM1 was classified by the international agency of research on cancer as, possible human carcinogens (Boudra *et al.*, 2007). Animal exposure to Aflatoxins reveals a variety of symptoms depending on the animal species. However, in all animals, Aflatoxins can cause liver damage, decreased reproductive performance, reduced milk or egg production, embryonic death, teratogenicity, tumors and suppressed immune system function, even when low levels are consumed (Akande *et al.*, 2006). Aflatoxins are toxic by-products produced by *Aspergillus flavus* and *Aspergillus parasiticus* (Harper, 2003). When animals eat food stuff containing AFB1, it will be metabolized and excreted as AFM1 in the urine and also in milk (Gurbay *et al.*, 2006). Apart from this, exposure to Aflatoxin can be through ingestion of contaminated milk containing AFM1.

The parameters affecting levels of AFM1 contamination in milk are the source of animal feeds, ecologic and economic factors on the farm, and also farm management. It seems that the kind of the animal feed and the harvesting time and temperature could be effective parameters in this regard (Kuiper, 1999). According to the European Union and Codex Alimentarius the maximum level of AFM1 in liquid milk and dried or processed milk products should not exceed 50 ng/ kg (CAC, 2001). But based on the US regulations it should not be higher than 500 ng/kg (Stoloff *et al.*, 1991; Tajkarimi *et al.*, 2007). The percentage of AfM1 contamination that has been reported in Sudan was 95.45% with contamination level ranging between 0.22 and 6.90 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ and average concentration of 2.07 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ (Elzupir and Elhoussein 2010). The residues of AFM1 remain soluble when milk is processed by heat or is fermented (Yousef and Marth, 1988; Blanco *et al.*, 1988). The aim of this study was to investigate the occurrence of AFM1 in dairy cattle milk.

Materials and methods

Sampling

A total of 143 fresh milk samples were randomly sampled during 2011 in Khartoum. The samples were transported to the laboratory in an insulated container at about 4°C. The samples were kept at -20 °C deep-freeze till tested. At the time of analysis samples were brought up to room temperature (Richard *et al.*, 1993).

ELISA test procedure

The quantity of aflatoxin M1 was determined according to Enzyme-Linked Immuno Sorbent Assay (ELISA) by using the Ridascreen® aflatoxin M1 (R-biopharm, Darmstadt, Germany) test kit which is a competitive enzyme immunoassay based on antigen-antibody reaction (Anonymous, 1999). The milk samples were centrifuged for 10 minutes with 3500 rpm in 10°C. The upper creamy layer was completely removed by aspirating through a Pasteur pipette. The skimmed milk (100 μL) was used directly in each well for quantitative test. Addition of the enzyme conjugate (100 μL) and substrate (50 μL) to all wells. The washing of the wells was used after each step by buffer solution. Finally, the measurement of AFM1 was done photometrically at the wavelength of 450 nm against the air blank in ELISA reader. Absorbance percentages were taken to the calibration curve performed with standards at different

- *Siham E. Suliman is currently Associate Professor in College of Veterinary Medicine, Sudan University of Science and Technology, Sudan, PH-00249922651757. E-mail: siham_suliman@yahoo.co.uk*
- *Mohamed A. Abdalla is Professor in College of Veterinary Medicine, Sudan University of Science and Technology, Sudan PH- 00249912943128. E-mail: salamaa2000@sustech.edu*

concentrations.

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using the software SPSS, version 11.5. Analysis of variance ANOVA was employed after logarithmic conversion when necessary to detect significant differences among means. A probability level of $p < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

In this study, a total of 143 raw milk samples were analyzed with the competitive ELISA technique. Aflatoxin M1 contamination was detected in 141 (98.6%) of the examined raw milk samples. The aflatoxin M1 contamination levels were between 18-86 ng/L with the mean of 69.40 ± 12.32 ng/L. The most positive samples were 134 samples of raw milk greater than the maximum tolerance (50 ng/l or Kg).

Discussion

The results ($2.04 \mu\text{g/L}^{-1}$) of this study are similar to the results obtained (Atanda *et al.*, 2007), but higher than that estimated by previous studies (Unusan 2006, Nachtmann *et al.*, 2007, Zinedine *et al.*, 2007, Heshmati and Milani 2010) .. Our findings lend support to those obtained in human breast milk (Coulter *et al.*, 1984), when 13 out of 99 (13.13%) of the samples were contaminated with aflatoxin (0.019 mL^{-1}). The rate of aflatoxin M1 contamination levels in this study is higher than that raw milk samples examined by Tajik *et al.*, (2007) and it is lowered than the data obtained by Kamkar (2005). In many countries of Europe the low level of aflatoxin M1 have been found may be due to stringent regulation of aflatoxin B1 in complementary feedstuffs for dairy cattle. But almost 99% of contaminated samples exceeded the European communities and Codex Alimentarius recommended limits (EC, 1992; Shipra *et al.*, 2004). Also the incidence of contamination of aflatoxin M1 was reached 87.3% in liquid milk samples in India. In another research by Hussain *et al.* (2008) revealed that all of the raw milk samples examined from 14 districts of Punjab, Pakistan the contamination with aflatoxin M1 reached 99.4% more than European Union limit (0.05 mg/l). Dashti *et al.* (2009) showed that all fresh milk samples except one from Kuwaiti markets were contaminated with aflatoxin M1 ranging from 4.9 to 68.7 ng/L, but 8 samples exceed maximum tolerant limit. Elzupir *et al.* (2010) analyzed 44 bulk milk samples in Khartoum state. The percentage of AfM1 contamination has been found in these samples were 42/44 (95.45%) with contamination level ranging between 0.22 and 2.07 mg/L^{-1} . The present contamination of AfM1 in these samples was not unexpected as it is consistent with the presence of AfB1 in feed (Elzupir *et al.*, 2010). Monitoring of AfM1 levels in animal studies has shown that the rate between the amount AfM1 ingested by cattle and the quantity excreted in milk is usually 0.32- 6.2% (Heshmati and Milani, 2010). Therefore, dairy cattle AfB1 exposure must be reduced by manufacturing and good practices (GMP). In conclusion, AfM1 contamination in the present investigation of the samples of dairy cattle milk is alarming high and may pose a serious public health problem to human health. It is important to maintain control and to apply an ideal recommended limit to minimize the health hazard from AfM1 contaminants in milk which it can be used by infants and children. Moreover, the prevention of aflatoxin formation in feeds (AfB1) is very important, because the consumption of contaminated feeds by dairy animals cause

AfM1 formation in milk. AfM1 contamination in the samples of dairy cattle milk in Khartoum state appears to be prevalent and may pose a public health problem at the moment. Awareness must be conveyed to producers, handlers and specialists.

References

- [1]. Akande. K.E., M.M. Abubakar, T.A. Adegbola and S.E. Bogoro (2006). Nutritional and health implications of mycotoxins in animal feeds: A Review. Pak.j. Nut, 5(5): 398-403. <http://www.pjbs.org/pinonline/fin482.pdf>.
- [2]. Anonymous., (1999). Enzyme immunoassay for the quantitative analysis of aflatoxin M1. Ridascreen aflatoxin M1, R-Biopharm GmbH, Darmstadt, Germany.
- [3]. Atanda, O., A. Oguntubo, O. Adejumo, J. Ikeorah and I. Akpan. (2007). Aflatoxin M1 contamination of milk and ice cream in Abeokuta and Odeda local governments of Ogun State, Nigeria. *Chemosphere*, 68, pp. 1455–1458.
- [4]. Blanco JL, Dominguez L, Gomez-Lucia E, Garayzabal JFF, Garcia JA, Suarez, G. (1988). Presence of aflatoxin M1 in commercial ultra-high-temperature-treated milk. *Appl Environ Microb*, 54, 1622-1623,.
- [5]. Boudra, J. Barnouin, S. Dragacci and D.P. Morgavi. (2007). Aflatoxin M1 and ochratoxin A in raw bulk milk from French dairy herds. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 90, pp. 3197–3201.
- [6]. Codex Alimentarius Commissions (CAC). (2001). Comments submitted on the draft maximum level of Aflatoxin M1 in milk. Codex Committee on Food Additives and Contaminants 33rd sessions, Hague, the Netherlands <ftp://ftp.fao.org/codex/ccfac33/fao120e.pdf>.
- [7]. Coulter, J.B., S.M. Lamplugh, G.I. Suliman, M.I. Omer and R.G. Hendrickse. (1984). Aflatoxins in human breast milk. *Annals of Tropical Paediatrics*, 4: 2, pp. 61–66.
- [8]. Creppy, E. E., (2002). Update of survey, regulation and toxic effects of mycotoxins in Europe. *Toxicology Letters*, 127: 19-28.
- [9]. Dashti, B., Al-Hamli, S., Alomirah, H., Al-Zenki, S., Bu Abbas, A., Sawaya, W., (2009). Levels of aflatoxin M1 in milk, cheese consumed in Kuwait and occurrence of total aflatoxin in local and imported animal feed. *Food Control*,
- [10]. EC., (1992). Commission Directive 92/95/EEC and Commission Directive 94/14/EC amending the annex of the Seventh Commission Directive 76/372/EEC establishing community methods of analysis for the official control of feeding stuffs. *European Community (EC). Official Journal of the European Communities*, 15: 4.

- [11]. **Elzupir, A.O. and Elhussein, A.M.**(2010). Determination of aflatoxin M in dairy cattle milk in Khartoum State, Sudan. *Food Control*, Vol. 21, (6), Pp 945-946 .
- [12]. **Gurbay, A., Aydin, S., Girgin, G., Engin, A.B. Al sahin, G.** (2006). Assessment of Aflatoxin M1 levels in milk in Akara, Turkey. *Food control* 17:1-4.
- [13]. **Harper, A.** (2003). An occasional contaminant of feed grains. *Livestock Update*. Virginia Cooperative Extension. <http://en.engormix.com/MA>.
- [14]. **Heshmati, A. and J.M. Milani.** (2010). Contamination of UHT milk by aflatoxin M1 in Iran. *Food Control*, **21** 1, pp. 19–22.
- [15]. **Hussain, I., and Anwar, J.,** (2008). A study on contamination of aflatoxin M1 in raw milk in the Punjab province of Pakistan *Food Control*, 19(4):393-395.
- [16]. **Kamkar, A.,** (2005). A study on the occurrence of aflatoxin M1 in raw milk produced in Sarab city of Iran. *Food Control*, **16**: 593-9
- [17]. **Kuiper, G.T.** (1999). Approaches to the risk analysis of mycotoxins in the food supply. 3rd joint FAO/WHO/UNEP International conference on Mycotoxins. Tunis, Tunisia Myc-Conf/99/7a, Pp4-6.
- [18]. **Nachtmann, C.S. Gallina, M. Rastelli, G.L. Ferro and L. Decastelli.** (2007). Regional monitoring plan regarding the presence of aflatoxin M1 in pasteurized and UHT milk in Italy. *Food Control*, **18** 6, pp. 623–629.
- [19]. **Richard ,J.L., A.B. Glenn, P.F. ROSS and P.E. Nelson.**(1993). Analysis of naturally occurring mycotoxins in feedstuffs and food. *Journal of Animal Science*, **71** , pp. 2563–2574.
- [20]. **Santacroce, M.C.,Casalino, E., Lai, O., Zizzadoro, C., Centoducati, G.** (2008). Aflatoxins in aquatic species: Metabolism, toxicity and perspectives. *Reviews in fish biology and fisheries*, 18. 99-130.
- [21]. **Shipra, R., Premendra, D.D., Subhash, K.K., Mukul, D.,** (2004). Detection of Aflatoxin M1 contamination in milk and infant milk products from Indian markets by ELISA. *Food control*, **15**: 287-290.
- [22]. **Stoloff, L., Van Egmond, H.P. and Parks, D.L.** (1991). Rationales for the Establishment of limits and regulations for mycotoxins. *Food Additives and contaminants* 8(2): 213-222.
- [23]. **Tajik, H., Rohani, S.M.R., Moradi, M.,** (2007). Detection of Aflatoxin M1 in raw and commercial pasteurized milk in Urmia, Iran. *Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences*, **10**: 4103-4107
- [24]. **Tajkarimi, M., Shojaee Aliabadi, F., Salah Negad, M., Pursoltani, H., Motallebi, A.A. and Mahavi, H.** (2007). Seasonal study of Aflatoxin M1 Contamination in milk in five regions in Iran, *International journal of food and microbiology* 116(3): 346-349.
- [25]. **Unusan, N.** (2006). Occurrence of aflatoxin M1 in UHT milk in Turkey. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, **44** , pp. 1897–1900.
- [26]. **Whitlow, L. W., & Hagler, W.M.** (2002). Mycotoxins in feeds. *Feedstuffs*, 74(28), 1-10.
- [27]. **Yousef AE, Marth EH.** (1988). Degradation of aflatoxin M1 in milk by ultraviolet energy. *J Food Protect*, 48, 697-698, 1985.
- [28]. **Zinedine, A., L. González-Osnaya, J.M. Soriano, J.C. Moltó, L. Idrissi and J. Mañes.** (2007). Presence of aflatoxin M1 in pasteurized milk from Morocco. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, **114** , pp. 25–29.