Amyloid Protofibril is Highly Voluminous and Compressible†
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ABSTRACT: We report here results of the first direct measurement of partial volume and compressibility changes of a protein as it forms an amyloid protofibril. We use a high precision density meter and an ultrasonic velocity meter on a solution of intrinsically denatured, disulfide-deficient variant of hen lysozyme, and follow the time-dependent changes in volume and compressibility, as the protein spontaneously forms a protofibril. We have found a large increase in partial specific volume with time from 0.684 to 0.724 mL·g−1 (Δν = 0.040 mL·g−1 corresponding to 570 mL·(mol monomer)−1) and in partial specific adiabatic compressibility coefficient from −7.48 × 10−12 to +1.35 × 10−12 cm2·dyn−1 (Δβs = 8.83 × 10−12 cm2·dyn−1) as the monomer transforms into a protofibril. The results demonstrate that the protofibril is a highly voluminous and compressible entity, disclosing a cavity-rich, fluctuating nature for the amyloid protofibril. The volume and compressibility changes occur in two phases, the faster one preceding the major development of the β-structure in the protofibril as monitored by CD.

The volume and compressibility of proteins are basic thermodynamic quantities that are closely related to protein hydration (1), cavity formation, dynamics in the folded state (2, 3) and the conformation of the unfolded state (4–7). It is generally accepted that the partial volume of a globular protein decreases as the conformational order or degree of folding decreases (8, 9). Very little is known, however, about how the volume and compressibility would change upon the formation of amyloid fibrils or protofibrils. These parameters are unique in elucidating basic properties of amyloid fibrils concerning compactness, fluctuation, cavities, and hydration, but no direct measurements of these parameters upon forming fibrils have so far been reported, except for the case of monitoring time course of aggregation with various volumetric parameters (10, 11). Moreover, the knowledge on their changes with amyloid protofibril formation will directly answer the question whether the amyloid fibril is dissociated by pressure and how easily it is (12–15).

Protofibrils made from the genetically engineered disulfide-deficient variant of hen lysozyme (0SS1), in which the eight Cys residues are replaced by Ala or Ser, constitutes an ideal system for such a study (16). It has no tertiary structure as judged from CD, fluorescence, and NMR and may only have residual secondary structure such as nascent helices since it has a far-UV CD band which is somewhat more negative than that in the case of complete chemical denaturation. Namely, the variant is intrinsically almost fully denaturated in its monomeric state in the absence of denaturants. It spontaneously forms in mildly acidic solution under mild ionic strength a molecular assembly rich in β-structure (17), which on AFM image reveals itself as protoprofibrils consisting of a linear array of protein molecules (18). The length of the protofibril varies widely with its population obeying nearly an exponentially decaying function of length, showing that the fibrils grow mainly through the linear polymerization mechanism, namely, by a monomer addition to a growing end of the polymer with a constant affinity (19). In no case were bundles of protofibrils (or a matured amyloid fiber) found that complicate the kinetics.

These protofibrils are dissociated at high pressure in the kbar range and reassociate by lowering pressure in a fully reversible manner (18), suggesting an increase in volume (a positive Δν) upon the formation of protofibril from its monomeric counterpart. A thermodynamic analysis based on pressure-dependent two-dimensional NMR cross-peak intensities gave the value of Δν ~100 mL·(mol monomer)−1 (17, 19). In addition, the kinetic analysis of the pressure dissociation reaction using the pressure-jump fluorescence spectroscopy (19) gave a negative activation volume (Δνp
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We report here the results of the direct measurement of volume and compressibility changes of the OSS solution as the monomeric OSS molecules assemble into protofibrils on a high-precision density meter and an ultrasonic velocity meter with a protein concentration of 5 mg·mL$^{-1}$ in 20 mM sodium acetate buffer and 30 mM sodium chloride at pH 4.0 at 25 °C. Simultaneously, we monitored changes in secondary structure with time using circular dichroism (CD). The simultaneous recording of volumetric parameters and secondary structure assures us that changes in volumetric parameters are due to the monomer-to-protofibril transition. Since the measurement is made continuously under the same solution condition, it gives directly the difference in volume and compressibility between the monomeric state (at the start of the reaction) and the protofibril state (after the reaction is nearly complete). Such measurements are rare because conformational transitions (such as folding and unfolding) are usually too rapid to allow time-dependent measurements. Instead, the comparison of volume and compressibility of a protein between different conformational states is often made under different solution conditions (e.g., addition of denaturants), which may obscure the validity of the comparison of their volumetric parameters.

The present study provides the first direct comprehensive determination of changes in equilibrium volumetric parameters for a monomer-to-protofibril transition, a crucial step in the amyloid fibril formation. The result shows surprisingly large increases in both volume and compressibility upon formation of protofibrils, disclosing the peculiar volumetric nature of an amyloid protofibril state.

**Materials and Methods**

*Preparation of Matured Prototibrils of OSS.* A genetically engineered disulfide-deficient variant of OSS was prepared as described (16). Prototibrils were formed similarly as described previously (19); the freeze-dried OSS powder was first dissolved in pure water to avoid precipitation, and the polymerization reaction was started by mixing the solution with sodium acetate buffer and sodium chloride solution to give a final protein concentration of 5 mg·mL$^{-1}$ in 20 mM sodium acetate buffer containing 30 mM sodium chloride at pH 4.0. The concentration of OSS was determined spectrophotometrically by assuming the same extinction coefficient, 23.7 DL/[g·cm] at 280 nm, as that reported for the reduced form of WT hen lysozyme (16, 17; this study). The extinction coefficient may be correspondingly higher for OSS since its molecular weight, 14,196, including its amino-terminally attached methionine residues, is lower by 0.7% compared to that of WT hen lysozyme. This error will cause the decrease of 0.002 mL·g$^{-1}$ in absolute value of partial specific volume, which is comparable with an experimental error of density measurements. Then the result will not influence the conclusion of the present work, particularly concerning the difference between the monomer and protofibril states. A total of 2 mL of the OSS solution was then degassed under vacuum for a short time. About 1 mL each of which was introduced into the sample cells for density and sound velocity measurements within 8 min after the self-association started. A drop of the sample solution was also introduced into the optical cell for CD measurements. The reference solvent was prepared by mixing water with sodium acetate buffer and sodium chloride solutions to the same composition as that of the protein solution on a balance to an accuracy of 10$^{-5}$ g.

**Density Measurements.** The densities of the solvent, \( \rho_0 \), and the OSS solution, \( \rho \), were measured with an accuracy of \( 1 \times 10^{-6} \) g·mL$^{-1}$ using a precision density meter (DMA5000, Anton Paar, Austria). The temperature of the sample cell was maintained at 25 °C with a high precision Peltier temperature control system. The time dependent change in density of the OSS solution was monitored continuously for 8–1,460 min after starting the fibril formation. Drifts of the density meter and temperature were confirmed negligible from the densities of solvent before and after a series of density measurements of the protein solution. The partial specific volume, \( v \), of the OSS solution at each measuring time was calculated with the following equation:

\[
v = (1/c)[(1 - (\rho - c)/\rho_0)]
\]

where \( c \) is the protein concentration in grams per milliliter of solution. Here, the partial specific volume determined at a fixed protein concentration (5.07 mg·mL$^{-1}$) may be regarded as that at infinite dilution of the protein solution since the concentration dependence of the partial specific volume is very small (2, 3).

**Ultrasonic Velocity Measurements.** The ultrasonic velocities of the solvent, \( u_0 \), and the OSS solution, \( u \), were measured with an accuracy of 0.1 cm/s by means of a high-resolution ultrasonic spectrometer (HR-US 102, Ultrasonic Scientific, Ireland) at a frequency of 5 MHz and 25 °C. About 1 mL of the OSS solution and the solvent was introduced into the two-separated glass cells equipped with the spectrometer, and the time course of the ultrasonic velocity difference between the sample solution and solvent was measured continuously for 8–1,460 min after starting fibril formation. The adiabatic compressibilities of the solvent and the sample solution (\( \beta_0 \) and \( \beta \)) were calculated from the ultrasonic velocity (\( u_0 \) and \( u \)) and density data (\( \rho_0 \) and \( \rho \)) at an identical measuring time by using the Laplace equation: \( \beta = 1/\rho u^2 \). The coefficient of partial specific adiabatic compressibility coefficient, \( \beta_s \), of the OSS amyloid protofibril was calculated with eq 2:

\[
\beta_s = -(1/\nu)(\partial\nu/\partial P) = (\beta_0/v)[\beta(\rho_0 - (\rho - c)/\rho_0)]
\]

where \( P \) is the pressure.
**Circular Dichroism Measurements.** An aliquot of the 0SS solution used for the volume and compressibility measurements was taken to monitor the progress of the protofibril-forming reaction with time using a CD spectropolarimeter (J-720W, Jasco). To allow a reliable long-time CD monitoring of the 0SS solution at the high protein concentration (5 mg·mL⁻¹), an assembled-type MgF₂ optical cell, specially constructed for vacuum ultraviolet CD measurements under high vacuum (21), was employed by adjusting the path length to 46 μm with a Teflon spacer. As the construction of the cell does not allow an independent temperature control of the sample solution, CD measurements were performed at the temperature of the room (20 °C). To protect the protein from possible photodegradation, the UV light was cut off when the spectra were not measured. The time course of the molar ellipticity at 215 nm, [θ]₂₁₅, was measured continuously for 13–1,614 min after starting fibril formation. To check if the temperature (20 °C), different from that (25 °C) for volume and compressibility measurements, affected the reaction rate significantly, an additional experiment was performed to monitor the CD spectral change at 25 °C on a Jasco J-820 spectropolarimeter equipped with a water-jacketed temperature control cell with a path length of 100 μm.

**Kinetic Analysis of Fibril Formation.** The exact analytical formula that describes the time course of nucleation-dependent monomer-addition type association has been derived only under simplifying assumptions (22). Moreover, our previous observation suggested that polymer–polymer addition also takes place in fibril formation (18). Therefore, the time courses of ν, βₙ, and [θ]₂₁₅ were fitted here to a double-exponential function of time:

\[
X(t) = X₁ - A₁ \exp(-k₁t) - A₂ \exp(-k₂t)
\]  
(3)

where \(X₁\) and \(X₂\) are the values of ν, βₙ, and [θ]₂₁₅ at times \(t\) and infinity, respectively; \(A\) and \(k\) are the amplitude and rate-constant of each phase; and subscripts 1 and 2 indicate the fast and slow reaction phases, respectively. However, in the limited experimental time range, fitting the experimental values to eq 3 did not give a good conversion in \(X₁\). Therefore, \(X₂\) values were conventionally taken as the values at \(t = 1,460\) min for ν and βₙ and as the value at \(t = 1,614\) min for [θ]₂₁₅ after starting fibril formation.

**RESULTS**

**Circular Dichroism (CD) Change Associated with Monomer-to-Fibril Transition.** The time dependent monomer-to-protofibril transition of 0SS, monitored by CD at pH 4 and 20 °C is shown in the inset of Figure 1. The 0SS CD spectrum at the initial stage of the transition (13 min) is similar to the CD spectrum observed for the totally reduced and carboxamidomethylated WT hen lysozyme at pH 2 (23). The ellipticity becomes more negative with time with a clear isoelectricity point at 206 nm, and a new peak appears around 215 nm, characteristic of a β-rich amyloid protofibril. The molar ellipticity at 215 nm, [θ]₂₁₅, changes exponentially with time, and protofibril formation is essentially complete at 1,-,500 min. A two-phase analysis using eq 3 with the [θ]₂₁₅ value of \(-11.3 \times 10⁴\) deg·cm²·dmL⁻¹ gave no significant difference between rate constants \(k₁\) and \(k₂\). Therefore, the time course of [θ]₂₁₅ was analyzed with single phase transition kinetics, giving the fitted curve in Figure 1 with the kinetic parameters listed in Table 1. The CD spectral change monitored at 25 °C at a protein concentration of 4.69 mg·mL⁻¹ also showed single phase kinetics similar to that of Figure 1 with a rate constant of 0.0023 min⁻¹, close to that (0.0025 min⁻¹) at 20 °C (data not shown). Obviously, the temperature effect on the rate constant is small.

**Density and Volume Changes Associated with Monomer-to-Fibril Transition.** Figure 2A shows the time dependent change of the density of the 0SS solution during the monomer-to-protofibril transition. The decrease is associated with an increase in partial specific volume. Fitting the experimental data to eq 3 with a ν₀ value of 0.724 mL·g⁻¹ (Figure 2A) gives the kinetic parameters listed in Table 1. The partial specific volume of the 0SS monomer, ν₀ = 0.684 mL·g⁻¹, is obtained by extrapolating the regression curve to \(t = 0\) (at the time of mixing of the 0SS aqueous solution and the buffer). The small ν₀ value indicates that the 0SS monomer is in an intrinsically denatured state with considerable hydration before the onset of the fibril-forming reaction. The ν₀ value is close to the ν value of 0.682 mL·g⁻¹ of reduced and acid-denatured carboxamidomethylated WT hen lysozyme at pH 2 (6), which should essentially be in the same conformational state as that of 0SS. A separate density measurement of 0SS in pure water (stable monomer) also gave a close ν₀ value of 0.680 mL·g⁻¹ (data not shown). These results are taken to indicate the reliability of ν₀ kinetically obtained for the partial specific volume of the 0SS monomer.

The increase in volume for the monomer-to-protofibril transition is ∆V = ν₁ - ν₀ = 0.724 - 0.684 = 0.040 mL·g⁻¹, corresponding to 570 mL·mol⁻¹ (monomer)⁻¹. The value is surprisingly large compared to the value of ∆V (~100 mL·mol⁻¹ (monomer)⁻¹), previously determined from the pressure-dependent equilibrium analysis of the 0SS system in 90% H₂O/10% D₂O (ν/ν) containing 50 mM deuterated sodium maleate buffer at pH 2.0 at 20 °C, using ⁵¹⁷/N/H two-dimensional NMR spectra (7.6 mg·mL⁻¹) (17, 19). The folding of monomeric globular proteins of comparable size usually gives ∆V ~100 mL·mol⁻¹ (24).
monomer-to-protofibril transition, the monomer is intrinsically denatured before the initiation of experimental data to eq 3 with a $\alpha$ between the two states, the difference in the partial specific adiabatic compressibility is associated with an increase in the partial specific volume during the monomer-to-protofibril transition (Figure 2B).

The decrease is associated with an increase in the partial specific adiabatic compressibility coefficient of the OSS monomer, $\beta_s^v = -7.48 \times 10^{-12}$ cm$^2$-dyn$^{-1}$, obtained by extrapolating the regression curve to $t = 0$ is close to the $\beta_s$ value ($-7.0 \times 10^{-12}$ cm$^2$-dyn$^{-1}$) of reduced and carboxamidomethylated WT hen lysozyme at pH 2 (6). This coincidence again indicates that the OSS monomer is intrinsically denatured before the initiation of fibril formation. The compressibility change due to the monomer-to-protofibril transition, $\Delta \kappa_s$, defined as the difference in the partial specific adiabatic compressibility between the two states, $\Delta \kappa_s = \kappa_s^v - \kappa_s^0$ = $v^s \beta_s^v - v^0 \beta_s^0$, is $6.10 \times 10^{-12}$ mL-g$^{-1}$-cm$^2$-dyn$^{-1}$ using the values of $v^0$, $v^v$, $\beta_s^0$, and $\beta_s^v$ obtained above.

The rate constants, $k_1$ and $k_2$, observed for the time course of compressibility change differ by nearly an order of magnitude and are close to those observed for the time course of partial specific volume change. The coincidence indicates that the monomer-to-protofibril transition proceeds in a parallel manner in volume and compressibility. The fast $k_1$ process observed for the volume and compressibility changes is apparently lacking for the $\beta$-structure formation observed for CD. The discrepancy does not arise from the difference in the temperature at which measurements were taken (20 °C for CD and 25 °C for volumetric and compressibility) because the CD measurement at 25 °C gave a rate similar to that at 20 °C. The apparent discrepancy of the kinetics must, therefore, arise from a fundamental difference in sensitivity among the methods used to monitor the reaction to the molecular events in the fast phase.

DISCUSSION

Volume and Compressibility Are Sensitive Probes of Cavity, Hydration, and Dynamics. Volume and compressibility are sensitive to internal atom packing (or cavity) and the solvent accessible surface area (or hydration) of a protein molecule, which regulate the dynamics of a protein molecule in solution (2, 3). The partial specific volume of a protein in water is expressed as the sum of three contributions: the constitutive volume estimated as the sum of the constitutive atomic or group volume ($V_c$); the volume of the cavity or void in the molecule due to imperfect atomic packing ($V_{cav}$); and the volume change due to solvation or hydration ($\Delta V_{sol}$).

$$V = V_c + V_{cav} + \Delta V_{sol} \quad (4)$$

Since the constitutive atomic volume is assumed to be incompressible, the coefficient of partial specific adiabatic compressibility coefficient is mainly determined by the internal cavity and surface hydration (2, 3):

$$\beta_s = -(1/\nu)(d\nu/dP) = -(1/\nu)[dV_{cav}/dP + \partial (\Delta V_{sol}/dP)] \quad (5)$$

Cavity contributes positively and hydration contributes negatively to $\nu$ and $\beta_s$; thus, the experimentally observed $\beta_s$ can be positive or negative depending on the relative magnitude of the two contributions. Since the constitutive atomic volume does not change in protein refolding and association, the volume and compressibility changes due to fibril formation are mainly ascribed to changes in hydration and the cavities.

Protophil Formation Accompanies a Large Increase in Volume and Compressibility. Figure 3 compares the experimentally determined values of partial specific volume ($\nu$)
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... and partial adiabatic compressibility coefficient ($\beta_s$) for the monomeric and protofibril states of 0SS with those for WT hen lysozyme at various conformational states in solution obtained from previous measurements (5, 6). The small $\nu^0$ and negative $\beta^{0}_v$ values ($\nu^0 = 0.684$ mL$\cdot$g$^{-1}$ and $\beta^{0}_v = -7.48 \times 10^{-12}$ cm$^2$ dyn$^{-1}$) for the monomer (Table 1 and Figure 3) are consistent with the already established view that the 0SS monomer is intrinsically denatured with a considerable degree of hydration, as judged from the CD (Figure 1), NMR (17, 18), and fluorescence (19) spectra as well as from the result of ultracentrifugation (17). Nonetheless, the fact that the $\beta^{0}_v$ value of the 0SS monomer ($-7.48 \times 10^{-12}$ cm$^2$ dyn$^{-1}$) is considerably larger than the $\beta_v$ value ($-19.9 \times 10^{-12}$ cm$^2$ dyn$^{-1}$) of the WT hen lysozyme fully unfolded by guanidine hydrochloride (5) (Figure 3) indicates that the naturally unfolded 0SS monomer has a residual structure excluding full hydration, whereas the guanidinium chloride-treated WT hen lysozyme has almost no residual structure and is fully hydrated. The differences in $\nu$ and $\beta_v$ demonstrate the high sensitivity of volume and compressibility for differentiating hydration states of proteins.

Upon monomer-to-protofibril transition, the partial specific volume of 0SS increases dramatically from $\nu^0 = 0.684$ mL$\cdot$g$^{-1}$ at the start of the transition to $\nu^0 = 0.724$ mL$\cdot$g$^{-1}$ at the final stage of the transition. The latter value is considerably larger even than that of the WT hen lysozyme in its native state ($0.712$ mL$\cdot$g$^{-1}$) (2). The result clearly demonstrates that the amyloid protofibril of 0SS is highly voluminous, even more than that of WT in its folded state, which has a considerable amount of cavities (25). The large volume increase ($\Delta \nu = \nu^0 - \nu^1 = 0.724 - 0.684 = 0.040$ mL$\cdot$g$^{-1}$ or 570 mL$(\text{mol monomer})^{-1}$) in the monomer-to-protofibril transition is certainly consistent with the earlier finding that the 0SS amyloid protofibril dissociates at high pressure (17–19). However, the $\Delta \nu$ value kinetically and directly determined from density measurements in the present work (570 mL$(\text{mol monomer})^{-1}$) is far greater than that ($\sim$100 mL$(\text{mol monomer})^{-1}$) determined previously from the equilibrium analysis with variable pressure (17, 19). This discrepancy may arise from two reasons, namely, (1) the transition treated in equilibrium analysis represents part of the total transition, thereby giving a fractional $\Delta \nu$ value rather than the entire volume change, and additionally, (2) the spectroscopically detected signal intensity may not necessarily represent the reactant population correctly.

Upon monomer-to-protofibril transition, the compressibility coefficient of 0SS also increases dramatically from the negative $\beta^{0}_v = -7.48 \times 10^{-12}$ cm$^2$ dyn$^{-1}$ at the start of the transition to the positive $\beta^{\infty}_v = +1.35 \times 10^{-12}$ cm$^2$ dyn$^{-1}$ at the final stage of the transition ($\Delta \beta_v = 8.83 \times 10^{-12}$ cm$^2$ dyn$^{-1}$). The large negative compressibility of the monomeric state ($\beta^{0}_v = -7.48 \times 10^{-12}$ cm$^2$ dyn$^{-1}$) is fully consistent with the intrinsically denatured state of the 0SS monomer, whereas the increase into positive value ($\beta^{\infty}_v = +1.35 \times 10^{-12}$ cm$^2$ dyn$^{-1}$) indicates that in the protofibril state the compressibility is dominated by the cavity effect rather than the hydration effect. Even so, the $\beta^{\infty}_v$ value of the protofibril is still smaller than $\beta_v$ of the native WT hen lysozyme (4.7 $\times$ 10$^{-12}$ cm$^2$ dyn$^{-1}$) (2). This is probably due to the fact that in the protofibril state a considerable portion of the polypeptide chain is still exposed and hydrated; our preliminary hydrogen/deuterium exchange measurements indeed show that more than 70% of the main chain amide groups of 0SS are exposed to the solvent in the protofibril state (unpublished results). Although it is difficult to partition the contributions of hydration and cavities, we expect that a small increase in the number of cavities will account for the increased compressibility of the protofibril since the compressibility of a cavity is about 10 times larger than that of water (2, 3). In summary, the values of $\Delta \beta_v$ and $\Delta \nu$ suggest that the transition of monomers to the protofibril is accompanied by an increase in cavity and a decrease in hydration, presumably because of a loss of water in the surface area at the monomer–monomer contact.

The conclusion drawn by Smirnovas et al. on polylysine (10) and insulin (11) aggregation and amyloidogenesis that it accompanies a compaction and reduction in the partial specific volume as well as a decrease in compressibility is in apparent contradiction to our present conclusion. However, only temperature dependence was reported in the former case, whereas in the latter case, the protein conformation (a partly unfolded state formed by an endothermic transition) at the start of the association reaction is apparently giving different volumetric properties than those for the present study. Moreover, significant differences exist in the morphology of the product fibrils. In general, a casual extension of the present result to other amyloid systems should be made with caution. For example, pressure does not necessarily lead to the dissociation of amyloid fibrils, as reported in the literature (13, 14). Besides possible kinetic barriers for dissociation due to the size and bundle structures of matured amyloid fibrils, a large volume decrease upon dissociation is not always assured when the monomer is refolded (cf. Figure 3). Clearly, we need more data on fibrillation systems with simple, well defined conformations or structures for both the starting material and the product fibril to generally discuss the trend in volumetric changes upon amyloid fibril formation.

Protofibril Formation Proceeds in Two Phases. While the secondary structure formation represented by the CD spectral change follows a single phase kinetics, the increase in $\nu$ and $\beta_v$ with time (Figure 2) indicates that the monomer-to-protofibril transition proceeds in two phases, fast and slow.
with rate constants differing by an order of magnitude. The rate constant 0.0025 min\(^{-1}\) for the single phase CD change is rather close to 0.0018 and 0.0021 min\(^{-1}\) for the volume and compressibility changes in the slow phase. Although the initial purpose of the CD measurement in the present work was to monitor the progress of the reaction with well-established CD spectral changes rather than to compare the kinetic result with those obtained from the volumetric measurements, the clear discrepancy in the apparent kinetics of the reaction is worthy of attention as it might hint at the early event of the reaction.

One obvious possibility for the discrepancy observed in the fast phase of the reaction would be that the initial association of monomers is driven by hydrophobic interactions and that it does not readily develop the ordered \(\beta\)-structure detectable as CD changes, whereas it contributes significantly to the volume and compressibility changes. (About three-quarters of the total volume change and about half of the total compressibility change take place in the fast phase (Table 1.) A supporting evidence for the molecular association occurring before the CD change takes place comes from the preliminary size-exclusion chromatography measurements, which can separately quantitate the polymer fraction and the monomer fraction (data not shown). The analysis gave a single rate constant of 0.0078 min\(^{-1}\) for exactly the same experimental condition as that for the volumetric measurements, about three times larger than that for the CD change. Also, the time course of turbidity increase, reflecting an increase in the weight averaged molecular weight (modified by particle dissipation factors), was regressed to a double-exponential function to give the fast phase rate constant of 0.0081 min\(^{-1}\), again much faster than the CD change. Thus, it appears certain that some molecular association process, rather silent to the CD spectral change, takes place in the fast phase, whereas in the slow phase, the major development of the \(\beta\)-structure takes place.

The molecular event in the early phase of the association, suggested here, must be delineated in more detail in future works.

In the slow phase, elongation and redistribution of preformed molecular aggregates into protofibrils will take place along with the development of the \(\beta\)-structure. Redistribution can take place mainly through the attachment and detachment of monomers from the end(s) of the polymer (19) but also through end-to-end polymer–polymer association, which has been suggested to take place in the pressure jump NMR study of O\(S\)S protofibrils (18). We need further experimental studies on a variety of systems to pursue the underlying principle and the generality of the two-phase transition phenomenon in protofibril formation.

**CONCLUDING REMARKS**

We have shown that changes in partial specific volume and compressibility associated with the formation of the amyloid protofibril from disulfide-deficient hen lysozyme can be measured with reasonable accuracy. Similar measurements of volume and compressibility changes in other amyloid systems are expected to open a new horizon of amyloid research because they not only provide a clear basis for judging whether or not the amyloid fibril is dissociable by pressure but also provide, more importantly, information for voids (cavities), hydration, and structural fluctuation, which are crucial for characterizing the dynamics and reactivity of amyloid fibrils. Furthermore, time dependent changes in volume and compressibility could be particularly sensitive to the early event in molecular association and protofibril growth.
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